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In this paper we obtain new characterization of certain spaces of W-type.
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1. Introduction: The spaces of W-type were studied by B.L. Gurevich [5] and I. M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov [4]. The investigations of the behaviour of the Fourier transformation on the Wspaces are done in [4] and [5]. Also W-spaces are applied to the theory of partial differential equations. These spaces are generalizations of spaces of S-type [3].

Pathak [6] and Eijndhoven and Kerkhof [2] introduced new spaces of W-type and investigated the behaviour of the Hankel transformation over them.

Motivated by the work of Pathak and Upadhyay [7], we give new characterizations of the spaces of W-type introduced in [2]. In our investigation the Hankel type transformation defined by

$$
h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} y^{4 \alpha}(x y)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x y) \phi(y) d y, \quad x \in(0, \infty)
$$

plays an important role, where as usual $J_{\lambda}$ denotes the Bessel type function of the first kind and order $\lambda$. Throughout this paper $(\alpha-\beta)$ will always represent a real number greater than $-1 / 2$.

From [1, Corollary 4.8], it is known that $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ is an automorphism of the space $S_{e}$ constituted by all those complex valued even smooth functions $\phi=\phi(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$
\rho_{m, n}(\phi)=\operatorname{Sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}\left|x^{m} D^{n} \phi(x)\right|<\infty, \text { for every } \mathrm{M}, n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$
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Moreover $h_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$, the inverse of $h_{\alpha, \beta}$, coincides with $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ on $S_{e}$. Throughout this paper K will always denote the following set of functions.
$K=\left\{M \in C^{2}([0 \infty)): M(0)=M^{\prime}(0)=0, M^{\prime}(\infty)=\infty\right.$ and $\left.M^{\prime \prime}(x)>0, x \in(0, \infty)\right\}$.
$M^{X}$ will represent the Young dual function of $M$ ([4, p.19]).
Interesting and useful properties of the functions in $K$ can be found in [2] and [4]. Following [4], we define the W -spaces as follows:

Let $M, \Omega \in K$ and $a, b>0$. The space $W_{m, a}$ consists of all those complex valued and smooth functions $\phi$ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_{m, k}>0$ for which

$$
\left|D^{k} \phi(x)\right| \leq C_{m, k} e^{-M(a(1-1 / m|x|))}, x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The space $W^{\Omega, b}$ consists of all entire functions $\phi$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_{m, k}>0$ for which

$$
\left|z^{k} \phi(z)\right| \leq C_{m, k} e^{\Omega\left(\mathrm{b}\left(1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}\right)|\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{z})|\right)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Ejndhoven and Kerkhof [2] investigated the behaviour of the transformation $h_{\alpha, \beta}$ on the subspaces of the $W$-spaces defined as follows :

A function $\phi$ is in $W e_{M, a}$ (respectively, $W^{\Omega, b}$ and $W_{M, a}^{\Omega, b}$ ). We now introduce new spaces of $W$-type.
Let $\Omega, M \in K, a, b>0$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. A complex valued and smooth function $\phi=\phi(x)$, $x \in I=(0, \infty)$ is in $W e_{\alpha, \beta, M, a}^{p}$ if and only if $\phi$ belongs to $S_{e}$ and

$$
\left\|e^{M[a(1-1 / m) x] \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} \phi(x)}\right\|_{p}<\infty \text { for every } m \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\} \text { and } k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Here and in the sequel $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ denotes the norm in the Lebesgue space $L_{p}(0, \infty)$. By $\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}$ we denote the Bessel type operator

$$
x^{4 \beta-2} D x^{4 \alpha} D
$$

The space $W e^{p, \Omega, b}$ consists of $\phi \in S_{e}$ that admit a holomorphic extension to the whole complex plane and that satisfy the following two conditions:
(i) there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we find $C_{k}>0$ for which

$$
\left|z^{k} \phi(z)\right| \leq C_{k} e^{(\Omega(b \in|I(z)|))}, z \in \mathbb{C},
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{Sup}_{y \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|e^{-\Omega\left(b\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)|y|\right)}(x+i y)^{m} \phi(x+i y)\right\|_{p}<\infty$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

A complex valued and smooth function $\phi=\phi(x), x \in I$ is in $W e_{M, a}^{p, \Omega, b}$ if and only if, $\phi$ is in $S_{e}$ admitting a holomorphic extension to the whole complex plane and $\phi$ satisfies (i) and (iii) $\operatorname{Sup}_{y \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|e^{\left(M[a(1-1 / m) x]-\Omega\left[b\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)|y|\right]\right) \phi(x+i y)}\right\|_{p}<\infty$ for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$.

In Section 2 we establish that $W e_{\alpha, \beta, M, a}^{p}=W e_{M, a}, W e^{p, \Omega, b}=W e^{\Omega, b}$ and $W e_{M, a}^{p, \Omega, b}=$ $W e_{M, a}^{\Omega, b}$ for every $(\alpha-\beta)>-1 / 2$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.
Throughout this paper for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we denote by $p^{\prime}$ the conjugate of $p\left(\right.$ i.e. $\left.p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}\right)$. Also by $C$ we always represent a suitable positive constant, not necessarily the same in each occurrence.
2. Characterizations of $W e$-spaces: In this section we prove by using the Hankel type transformation $h_{\alpha, \beta}$, that $W e_{\alpha, \beta, M, a}^{p}=W e_{M, a}, W e^{p, \Omega, b}=W e^{\Omega, b}$ and $W e_{M, a}^{p, \Omega, b}=W e_{M, a}^{\Omega, b}$ for every $(\alpha-\beta)>-1 / 2$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.
Lemma 2.1: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $(\alpha-\beta)>-1 / 2$. Then $W e_{\alpha, \beta, M, a}^{p}$ is contained in $W e_{M, a}$.
Proof: First assume that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $\phi$ be in $W e_{\alpha, \beta, M a}^{p}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(y)=h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(y)=\int_{0}^{\infty}(x y)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x y) \phi(x) x^{4 \alpha} d x, y \in \mathbb{C} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Corollary 4.8 in [1], $\psi$ is in $S_{e}$. Moreover, the last integral is defined for every $y \in \mathbb{C}$. In fact, for every $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$, by virtue of (5.3b) of [2] and Holder's inequality
we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|(x y)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x y)\right||\phi(x)| x^{4 \alpha} d x \leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{x|I(y)|}|\phi(x)| x^{4 \alpha} d x \\
& \leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{x|I(y)|-M(a(1-1 / n) x)} e^{M(a(1-1 / n) x)}|\phi(x)| x^{4 \alpha} d x \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{x|I(y)-M(a(1-1 / n) x)|} x^{4 \alpha}\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{M(a(1-1 / n)) x} \phi(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$
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$\leq C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{x \mid I(y)-M(a(1-1 / n))} x^{4 \alpha}\right|^{p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}$.
Moreover, denoting as usual by $M^{X}$ the yong dual of $M$, according to well-known properties of $M^{x}([4])$ we obtain for every $x \in I, y \in \mathbb{C}, n, m \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$, where $1<m<n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x|I(y)|-M(a(1-1 / n) x)= & \frac{x|I(y)|}{a(1-1 / m)} a(1-1 / m)-M(a(1-1 / n) x) \\
\leq & M(a(1-1 / m) x)-M\left(a\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) x\right) \\
+ & M^{X}\left(\frac{|I(y)|}{a(1-1 / m)}\right) \\
& \leq-M\left(a\left(\frac{1}{m}-1 / n\right) x\right)+M^{X}\left(\frac{I(y)}{a(1-1 / m)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$ with $1<m<n$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|(x y)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x y)\right||\phi(x)| x^{4 \alpha} d x \\
& \left.\leq C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-M\left(a\left(\frac{1}{m}-1 / n\right) x\right)} x^{4 \alpha}\right)^{p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} e^{M^{X}\left(\frac{|I(y)|}{a(1-1 / m)}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq C e^{M^{X}\left(\frac{|I(y)|}{a(1-1 / m)}\right)}, \quad y \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text { because } \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} M^{\prime}(x)=\infty
$$

If $p=1$ or $p=\infty$ we can argue in a similar way.
Thus we conclude that the integral in the right hand side of (2.1) is a continuous extension of $\psi$ to the whole complex plane. Moreover, by proceeding in a similar way we can see that it also is entire. Such an extension will be denoted again by $\psi$. Note that $\psi$ is an even function.

We prove that $\psi \in W e^{M^{X, 1 / a}}$. It is simple to deduce from Lemma 5-4-1 of [9] that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
y^{2 k} \psi(y)=(-1)^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty}(x y)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x y) \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}[\phi(x)] x^{4 \alpha} d x, y \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Then, proceeding as above, we get for every $k, m \in \mathbb{N}, m>1$,

$$
\left|y^{2 k} \psi(y)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|(x y)^{-(\alpha-\beta)} J_{\alpha-\beta}(x y)\right| \mid \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}[\phi(x)] x^{4 \alpha} d x
$$

## International Journal of Marketing and Technology http://www.ijmra.us

$\leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{x|I(y)|} x^{4 \alpha}\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}[\phi(x)]\right| d x$
$\leq C e^{M^{X}\left(\frac{|I(y)|}{a(1-1 / m)}\right)}, y \in \mathbb{C}$.
Hence $\psi \in W e^{M^{X}, 1 / a}$.
Since $h_{\alpha, \beta}=h_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$ on $S_{e}$, according to Lemma 7.4 of [2], we conclude that $W e_{\alpha, \beta, M, a}^{p}$ is contained in $W e_{M, a}$.
Lemma 2.2: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $(\alpha-\beta)>-1 / 2$. Then $W e_{M, a}$ is contained in $W e_{\alpha, \beta, M, a}^{p}$.
Proof: By virtue of Lemma 7.3 of [2], $h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(W e_{M, a}\right) \subset W e^{M^{X}, 1 / a}$.
Since $h_{\alpha, \beta}=h_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$ on $S_{e}$, our result will be established when we see that $h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)$ is in $W e_{\alpha, \beta, M, a}^{p}$.

Note first that according to Corollary 4.8 of [1], $h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi$ is in $S_{e}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By involving Lemma 5-4-1 of [9] we can obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)=(-1)^{k} h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(z^{2 k} \phi(z)\right)(x), x \in I \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A procedure similar to the one developed in the proof of Lemma 6.1 of [2] allows us to write, for every $x>1$ and $\tau>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi) & (x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x(\sigma+i \tau))^{-(\alpha-\beta)} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i \tau)) \phi(\sigma+i \tau) \\
& \times(\sigma+i \tau)^{2(\alpha-\beta)+2 k+1} d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}$ denotes the Hankel type functions ([8], p.73).
Now for every $x>1$ and $\tau>0$ we divide the last integral as follows :
$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x(\sigma+i \tau))^{-(\alpha-\beta)} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i \tau)) \phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{2(\alpha-\beta)+2 k+1} d \sigma$
$\left(\int_{|x(\sigma+i \tau)| \leq 1}+\int_{|x(\sigma+i \tau)|>1}\right)(x(\sigma+i \tau))^{-(\alpha-\beta)} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}$
$\times(x(\sigma+i \tau)) \phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{2(\alpha-\beta)+2 k+1} d \sigma$.
We will analyze each of the integrals separately.
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Assume first that $(\alpha-\beta) \geq 1 / 2$. On one side by using (5.3c) of [2], we get for every $n \in$ $\mathbb{N}-\{0\}$
$\int_{|x(\sigma+i \tau)| \leq 1}\left|(x(\sigma+i \tau))^{-(\alpha-\beta)} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i \tau)) \phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{2(\alpha-\beta)+2 k+1}\right| d \sigma$
$\leq C e^{-x \tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\phi(\sigma+i \tau)| d \sigma$
$\leq C e^{-x \tau+M^{X}[1 / a(1+1 / n) \tau], x>1 \text { and } \tau>0 \text {; }}$
On the other hand, by using again (5.3c) of [2], for every $n \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \int_{|x(\sigma+i \tau)|>1}\left|(x(\sigma+i \tau))^{-(\alpha-\beta)} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i \tau)) \phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{2(\alpha-\beta)+2 k+1}\right| d \sigma \\
& \leq C e^{-x \tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{2(\alpha-\beta)+2 k+1}\right| d \sigma  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq C e^{-x \tau+M^{X}[1 / a(1+1 / n) \tau]}, \quad x>1 \text { and } \tau>0 .
\end{align*}
$$

For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$, we choose $\tau>0$ such that

$$
M^{X^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{a}\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \tau\right)=\frac{a x}{(1+1 / n)}
$$

Then from Lemma 2.4 of [2] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x \tau+M^{X}(1 / a(1+1 / n) \tau)-M\left(\frac{a x}{1+1 / n}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by combining (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), it follows that

$$
\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)\right| \leq C e^{-M\left[a x\left(1-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right], x>1, \text { and } n \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

Note also that, if $-1 / 2<(\alpha-\beta)<1 / 2$, by involving (5.3.d) of [2] one has

$$
\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi(x)\right| \leq C e^{-x \tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{\alpha-\beta+2 k+1 / 2}\right| d \sigma, \quad \tau>0
$$

and $x>1$.
Proceeding as above, we conclude that

$$
\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)\right| \leq C e^{-M[a x(1-1 / n)]}, \quad x>1 \text { and } m \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}
$$

Now let $x \in(0,1)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$. According to (5.3b) of [2] we have

$$
\left|e^{M[a x(1-1 / m)]} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k}\left[h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)\right]\right|=\left|e^{M[a x(1-1 / m)]} h_{\alpha, \beta}\left(z^{2 k} \phi(z)\right)(x)\right|
$$
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$$
\leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} \sigma^{2(\alpha-\beta)+2 k+1}|\phi(\sigma)| d \sigma
$$

because $M$ is an increasing function on $(0, \infty)$.
Hence, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$,

$$
\left|e^{M[a x(1-1 / m)]} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)\right| \leq C, \quad x>0
$$

and, if $m \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$, then

$$
\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|e^{M[a x(1-1 / m)]} \Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)\right|^{p} d x\right\}^{1 / p} \leq C
$$

because

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-p M\left[a x\left(1 / m-\frac{1}{(m+1)}\right)\right]} d x<\infty
$$

Thus we establish that $h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi \in W e_{\alpha, \beta, M, a}^{p}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and the proof is completed.
From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we deduce
Theorem 2.1: For every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $(\alpha-\beta)>-1 / 2, W e_{\alpha, \beta, M, a}^{p}=W e_{M, a}$.
Lemma 2.3: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $W e^{p, \Omega, b}$ is contained in $W e^{\Omega, b}$.
Proof: Let $\phi$ be in $W e^{p, \Omega, b}$. Assume that $(\alpha-\beta)>-1 / 2$. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 we can establish that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\ell=\ell(k)$ such that

$$
\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)\right| \leq C e^{-x \tau} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\phi(\sigma+i \tau)|\left(|\sigma+i \tau|^{\ell}+1\right) d \sigma, \quad \tau, x \in(0, \infty) .
$$

Hence, according to Holder's inequality and (2.6), we obtain for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$ and suitable $\tau>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\Omega^{\mathrm{x}}\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}}(1-1 / \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{x}\right]}\left|\Delta_{\alpha, \beta}^{k} h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi)(x)\right| \\
& \leq C e^{\Omega^{\mathrm{x}}\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}\right) \mathrm{x}\right]-\Omega^{\mathrm{x}}\left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}+1}\right)\right) \mathrm{x}}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \sigma}{\left(1+\sigma^{2}\right)^{p^{\prime}}}\right\}^{1 / p^{\prime}} \\
& \left.\times\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\Omega\left[b\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right) \tau\right]}(|\sigma+i \tau|+1)\left(|\sigma+i \tau|^{\ell}+1\right)|\phi(\sigma+i \tau)|\right)^{p} d \sigma\right\}^{1 / p} \\
& \leq C, x \in(0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$
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provided that $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. When $p=1$ or $p=\infty$ we can proceed in a similar way. Thus we prove that $h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi) \in W e_{\alpha, \beta, \Omega^{\mathrm{x}}, 1 / \mathrm{p}}^{\infty}$. Therefore Theorem 2.1 shows that $h_{\alpha, \beta}(\phi) \in W e_{\Omega^{\mathrm{x}}, 1 / \mathrm{b}}$.

Since $h_{\alpha, \beta}=h_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$ on $S_{e}$, it is sufficient to take into account Lemma 7.3 of [2] to see that $\phi \in W e^{\Omega, b}$, and the proof of this lemma is complete.

The next result is not difficult to see.
Lemma 2.4: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $W e^{\Omega, b}$ is contained in $W e^{p, \Omega, b}$.
As an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 we obtain the following
Theorem 2.2: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $W e^{p, \Omega, b}=W e^{\Omega, b}$.
Lemma 2.5: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $W e_{M, a}^{p, \Omega, b}$ is contained in $W e_{M, a}^{\Omega, b}$.
Proof: Let $\phi \in W e_{M, a}^{p, \Omega, b}$. Choose $(\alpha-\beta) \geq 1 / 2$. Since $h_{\alpha, \beta}=h_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$ on $S_{e}$, by virtue of Lemma 7.5 of [2], to prove this lemma it is sufficient to see that $h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi$ is in $W e_{\Omega^{X}, 1 / b}^{M^{X}, 1 / a}$.
The Hankel type transformation $h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi$ of $\phi$ is in $S_{e}$ (Corollary 4.8 [1]). Moreover proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can see that $h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi$ can be holomorphically extended to the whole complex plane.

Let $\tau>0$. An argument similar to the one developed in Lemma 6.1 of [2] allows us to write.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi\right)(x)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(x(\sigma+i \tau))^{-(\alpha-\beta)} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i \tau)) \phi(\sigma+i \tau) \\
& \times(\sigma+i \tau)^{4 \alpha} d \sigma, \quad|x|>1
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.2,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi\right)(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{|x(\sigma+i \tau)| \leq 1}+\int_{|x(\sigma+i \tau)>1|}\right)(x(\sigma+i \tau))^{-(\alpha-\beta)} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)} \\
\times(x(\sigma+i \tau)) \phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{4 \alpha} d \sigma, \quad|x|>1
\end{gathered}
$$

We must analyze each of the two integrals.
According to (5.3c) of [2] we have, for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \int_{|x(\sigma+i \tau)|>1}\left|(x(\sigma+i \tau))^{-(\alpha-\beta)} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i \tau)) \phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{4 \alpha}\right| d \sigma \\
& \leq C|x|^{2 \beta-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(R(x)) \tau-(I(x)) \sigma}\left|\phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{2 \alpha}\right| d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\leq C|x|^{2 \beta-1}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(R(x)) \tau+|I(x)||\sigma|-M\left(a\left(1-\frac{1}{n}\right) \sigma\right)+\Omega\left(b\left(1+\frac{1}{m}\right) \tau\right)}\left(|\sigma+i \tau|^{2 \alpha}\right)^{p^{\prime}} d \sigma\right\}^{1 / p^{\prime}}
$$

where $|x|>1$, provided that $1<p<\infty$. By Lemma 2.4 of [2],
$|I(x)||\sigma| \leq M^{X}\left(\frac{|I(x)|}{a\left(1-\frac{1}{\ell}\right)}\right)+M(a(1-1 / \ell)|\sigma|, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{C})$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,
$\ell>1$.
Then

$$
|R(x)||\sigma|-M(a(1-1 / n)|\sigma|) \leq M^{X}\left(\frac{|R(x)|}{a(1-1 / \ell)}\right)-M\left(a\left(\frac{1}{\ell}-1 / n\right)|\sigma|\right)
$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\ell, n \in \mathbb{N}, n>l>1$.
We assume now that $R(x)>0$ and we choose $\tau>0$ such that

$$
\Omega^{\prime}(b(1+1 / m) \tau)=\frac{R(x)}{b(1+1 / m)} .
$$

Then again by Lemma 2.4 of [2],

$$
\tau R(x)=\Omega(b(1+1 / m) \tau)+\Omega^{X}\left(\frac{R(x)}{b(1+1 / m)}\right)
$$

Hence, Since $(2 \beta-1) \leq 0$ and $1<p<\infty$, we obtain for every $|x| \geq 1$ and $R(x)>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \int_{|x(\sigma+i \tau)|>1}\left|(x(\sigma+i \tau))^{-(\alpha-\beta)} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i \tau)) \phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{4 \alpha}\right| d \sigma \\
& \leq C e^{M^{X}\left(\frac{|I(x)|}{a(1-1 / \ell)}\right)-\Omega^{X}\left(\frac{R(x)}{b(1+1 / m)}\right)} \\
& \quad \times\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(e^{-M(a(1 / \ell-1 / n)|\sigma|)}|\sigma+i \tau|^{2 \alpha}\right)^{p^{\prime}} d \sigma\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \leq C e^{M^{X}\left(\frac{|I(x)|}{a(1-1 / \ell)}\right)-\Omega^{X}\left(\frac{R(x)}{b(1+1 / m)}\right), n, m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}, 1<\ell<n}
\end{align*}
$$

because

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(e^{-M(a(1 / \ell-1 / n)|\sigma|)}|\sigma+i \tau|^{2 \alpha}\right)^{p^{\prime}} d \sigma<\infty
$$

If $p=1$ or $p=\infty$, we can proceed in a similar way.
On the other hand, by (5.3c) of [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x(\sigma+i \tau)| \leq 1}\left|(x(\sigma+i \tau))^{-(\alpha-\beta)} H_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)}(x(\sigma+i \tau)) \phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)^{4 \alpha}\right| d \sigma \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$\leq C|x|^{-2(\alpha-\beta)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(R(x)) \tau+|I(x)||\sigma|}|\phi(\sigma+i \tau)(\sigma+i \tau)| d \sigma$
$\leq C e^{M^{X( }\left(\frac{|I(x)|}{a\left(1-\frac{1}{\ell}\right)}\right)-\Omega^{X}\left(\frac{R(x)}{b(1+1 / m)}\right)}, \quad|x| \geq 1$
and
$R(x)>0$, for $m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}-\{0\}, \ell>1$.
Hence from (2.7) and (2.8) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi(x)\right| \leq C e^{M^{X}\left(\frac{1}{a}\left(1+\frac{1}{\ell-1}\right)|I(x)|\right)-\Omega^{X}\left(\frac{1}{b}\left[1-\frac{1}{m+1}\right] R(x)\right)} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $|x| \geq 1$ and $R(x)>0, m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\ell>1$.
Since $h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi$ is even, the corresponding inequality (2.9) also holds when $R(x)<0$. Now let $|x|<1$. By using (5.3b) of [2] we deduce that

$$
\left|h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi(x)\right| \leq C \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{t|I(x)|}|\phi(t)| t^{4 \alpha} d t
$$

Proceeding as in the above case, we conclude that $h_{\alpha, \beta} \phi \in W e_{\Omega^{X}, 1 / b}^{M^{X}, 1 / a}$.
Thus proof is completed.
Now we can prove the following result easily.
Lemma 2.6: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $W e_{M, a}^{\Omega, b}$ is contained in $W e_{M, a}^{p, \Omega, b}$.
From Lemma 2.5 and 2.6 we obtain
Theorem 2.3: Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then $W e_{M, a}^{p, \Omega, b}=W e_{M, a}^{\Omega, b}$.
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